ACHS Peer Group Comparison

Ophthalmic Surgery Centre (North Shore)

Organisation Code: 160523

First Half 2016

Anaesthesia and Perioperative Care Version 6

ACHS Organisation Code: 160523   **First Half 2016**   **Australasia**   **Private Facility**

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where All organisations are stratified into public / private categories and surgical complexity as defined by number of ICU beds during the 6 month data collection period.: Free Standing Day Only Facility

	Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 31								
CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
3.1	Relief of respiratory distress in the recovery period (L)	0	2369	0.00%	(0.00-0.15)	0	0	17	0.00%	
3.2	**PONV treatment in the recovery period (L)**	**2**	**2369**	**0.08%**	**(0.00-0.24)**	**9**	**-7**	**12**	**0.38%**	⭐
3.4	Severe pain not responding to pain protocol in the recovery period (L)	0	2369	0.00%	(0.00-0.15)	1	-1	15	0.04%	
4.1	Unplanned ICU admission equal to or less than 24 hours after procedure (L)	0	2369	0.00%	(0.00-0.15)	0	0	15	0.01%	

\# - Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

 Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

ACHS Organisation Code: 160523   **First Half 2016**   **Australasia**   **Private Facility**

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Type of service: Free-standing - stands alone and purpose built

	Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 67									
CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
1.1	**Booked patients assessed before admission (H)**	**2381**	**2381**	**100.00 %**	**(99.85-100.00)**	**2286**	**95**	**34**	**95.99%**	⭐
2.1	**Booked patients who fail to arrive (L)**	**0**	**2381**	**0.00%**	**(0.00-0.15)**	**9**	**-9**	**55**	**0.38%**	⭐
3.1	Cancellation of the procedure after arrival due to pre-existing medical condition (L)	2	2381	0.08%	(0.00-0.24)	2	0	63	0.08%	
3.2	Cancellation of the procedure after arrival due to an acute medical condition (L)	7	2381	0.29%	(0.01-0.58)	6	1	61	0.24%	
3.3	Cancellation of procedure after arrival due to administrative/ organisational reasons (L)	3	2381	0.13%	(0.00-0.31)	3	0	62	0.13%	
4.1	Patients who experience an adverse event during care delivery (L)	6	2381	0.25%	(0.00-0.52)	3	3	45	0.13%	
5.1	Unplanned return to operating room on same day as initial procedure (L)	2	2369	0.08%	(0.00-0.24)	1	1	60	0.03%	
6.1	Unplanned transfer or overnight admission related to procedure (L)	3	2369	0.13%	(0.00-0.31)	2	1	58	0.07%	
6.2	Unplanned transfer or admission related to ongoing management (L)	1	2369	0.04%	(0.00-0.15)	1	0	40	0.03%	
7.1	Unplanned delayed discharge for clinical reasons >1 hour beyond expected (L)	1	2369	0.04%	(0.00-0.15)	3	-2	50	0.14%	

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, X̄ - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

Day Patient Version 5

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Type of service: Free-standing - stands alone and purpose built

CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
	Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 67									
7.2	Unplanned delayed discharge for non-clinical reasons >1 hour beyond expected (L)	0	2369	0.00%	(0.00-0.15)	2	-2	41	0.10%	
8.1	**Departure without an escort (L)**	**93**	**2369**	**3.93%**	**(2.90-4.95)**	**44**	**49**	**30**	**1.88%**	🚩
9.2	**Follow-up phone call received by patient or carer within 7 days (H)**	**2369**	**2369**	**100.00 %**	**(99.85-100.00)**	**2298**	**71**	**26**	**97.00%**	⭐

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, X̄ - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

ACHS Organisation Code: 160523   **First Half 2016**   **Australasia**   **Private Facility**

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Number of beds at this site, location or campus: 1-49 beds

	Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 42								
CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
5.1	Flu vaccination for permanent staff (H)	45	57	78.95%	(65.07-92.83)	38	7	8	66.51%	
6.1	Reported parenteral exposures sustained by staff (L)	1	112	0.89%	(0.00-3.18)	0	1	38	0.02%	
6.2	Reported non-parenteral exposures sustained by staff (L)	2	112	1.79%	(0.00-5.00)	0	2	37	0.01%	

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, X̄ - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

 Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

Ophthalmology Version 5

ACHS Organisation Code: 160523   **First Half 2016**   **Australasia**   **Private Facility**

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Number of ophthalmology separations in 6 month data collection period: 300 or more separations

	Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 31									
CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
1.1	Cataract surgery - readmission within 28 days (L)	2	1240	0.16%	(0.00-0.45)	2	0	26	0.15%	
1.2	Cataract surgery - readmission within 28 days due to endophthalmitis (L)	0	1240	0.00%	(0.00-0.29)	0	0	24	0.01%	
1.3	Cataract surgery - unplanned overnight admission (L)	0	1240	0.00%	(0.00-0.29)	1	-1	23	0.09%	
1.4	Cataract surgery - anterior vitrectomy (L)	2	1240	0.16%	(0.00-0.45)	5	-3	25	0.37%	
2.1	Intraocular glaucoma surgery - readmission within 28 days (L)	0	42	0.00%	(0.00-8.30)	0	0	12	1.15%	
2.2	Intraocular glaucoma surgery - readmission within 28 days due to endophthalmitis (L)	0	42	0.00%	(0.00-8.30)	0	0	11	0.00%	
3.1	Retinal detachment surgery - readmissions within 28 days (L)	0	5	0.00%	(0.00-59.50)	0	0	6	5.29%	
3.2	Retinal detachment surgery - unplanned readmission within 28 days due to endophthalmitis (L)	0	5	0.00%	(0.00-59.50)	0	0	8	0.00%	
3.4	Retinal detachment surgery - unplanned reoperation within 28 days (L)	0	5	0.00%	(0.00-59.50)	0	0	9	5.11%	
4.1	Intraocular lens implantation with planning record present at time of surgery (H)	1240	1240	100.00%	(99.71-100.00)	1240	0	8	99.98%	

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, X̄ - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

Ophthalmology Version 5

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Number of ophthalmology separations in 6 month data collection period: 300 or more separations

CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
	Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 31									
4.2	**Toric lens implantation (N)**	**531**	**1240**	**42.82%**	**(39.21-46.43)**	**389**	**142**	**8**	**31.36%**	
4.3	Toric intraocular lens implantation with planning record present at time of surgery (H)	531	531	100.00%	(99.33-100.00)	531	0	7	99.94%	

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, X̄ - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

 Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

ACHS Organisation Code: 160523   **First Half 2016**   **Australasia**   **Private Facility**

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Number of paediatric separations in 6 month data collection period: 1-499 separations

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2016: 5										
CI NO	Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2016	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2016	Outlier
1.1	Registered nurses with paediatric basic life support qualifications (H)	50	50	100.00 %	(93.00-100.00)	50	0	3	100.00%	

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified   Page 8

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, $\overline{X}$ - mean, M - median   5-Oct-16 03:34

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manual

 Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval

Flag indicates where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **undesirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

Star indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the result is **desirably** lower or higher than the expected rate.

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.